EXHIBIT 1

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 2041-5 Filed 10/23/17 Page 2 of 17 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
                  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2.
                NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 3
                     SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
 4
 5
 6
     WAYMO LLC,
 7
                    Plaintiff,
 8
                                         ) Case No.
        vs.
     UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;
 9
                                         ) 17-cv-00939-WHA
     OTTOMOTTO, LLC; OTTO TRUCKING LLC, )
10
11
                   Defendants.
12
      HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
13
14
15
        VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF ANGELA L. PADILLA, ESQ.
                    San Francisco, California
16
17
                      Monday, October, 2017
                            Volume I
18
19
20
     Reported by:
     MARY J. GOFF
21
22
     CSR No. 13427
     JOB No. 2716665
23
24
25
     PAGES 1-111
                                                    Page 1
```

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 2041-5 Filed 10/23/17 Page 3 of 17 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	MR. GONZALEZ: No. That's okay. You know	10:45:25
2	where I'm going.	10:45:26
3	A Or to put it another way, everything I	10:45:26
4	know on that is privileged.	10:45:28
5	Q (BY MR. PERLSON) Everything you know on	10:45:30
6	that subject	10:45:31
7	A Yes.	10:45:32
8	Q is privileged? Have you personally	10:45:32
9	asked Mr. Levandowski whether he took any Google or	10:46:08
10	Waymo material with him to Uber?	10:46:15
11	MR. GONZALEZ: And here I would caution	10:46:21
12	you on the attorney/client privilege.	10:46:23
13	A Discussions I had with Anthony on that	10:46:27
14	topic are privileged.	10:46:30
15	Q (BY MR. PERLSON) Did Mr. Levandowski ever	10:46:37
16	refuse to answer any questions by you as to whether	10:46:41
17	he had taken Waymo confidential information and	10:46:47
18	MR. GONZALEZ: Objection	10:46:56
19	Q (BY MR. PERLSON) used it at Uber?	10:46:56
20	MR. GONZALEZ: I would instruct you not	10:46:57
21	to answer that unless they were nonprivileged	10:46:58
22	conversations.	10:47:03
23	A All discussions on that topic were	10:47:04
24	privileged.	10:47:06
25	Q (BY MR. PERLSON) Okay. Were there any	10:47:06
		Page 52

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 2041-5 Filed 10/23/17 Page 4 of 17 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

questions that you asked Mr. Levandowski to answer 10:47:18 in relation to this litigation that he refused to 10:47:27	
2 in relation to this litigation that he refused to 10:47:27	
3 answer? 10:47:31	
4 MR. GONZALEZ: I'm going to instruct you 10:47:32	
5 not to answer that question. 10:47:33	
Q (BY MR. PERLSON) Has Mr. Levandowski 10:47:49	
7 provided any information to you that was used in 10:47:55	
8 connection with Uber's defense of this case? 10:48:03	
9 MR. GONZALEZ: Same instruction. 10:48:10	
10 A That would be privileged. 10:48:13	
Q (BY MR. PERLSON) Has Mr. Levandowski ever 10:48:25	
cooperated in providing information to you that was 10:48:26	
used in connection with Uber's defense of this case? 10:48:32	
MR. GONZALEZ: Same instruction. Your 10:48:39	
communications with them and mental impressions are 10:48:40	
16 privileged. 10:48:43	
17 MR. PERLSON: And so I can't so I 10:48:50	
just just for the record, any questions that I 10:48:51	
ask regarding conversations with Mr. Levandowski 10:48:54	
regarding this case, I'm going to get an 10:49:01	
21 instruction? 10:49:03	
MR. GONZALEZ: Pretty much. Pretty much. 10:49:04	
23 I mean, I can't think of I if there's 10:49:06	
some instruction if there's some conversation 10:49:09	
25 that I'm not aware of that's not privileged, but 10:49:11	
Page 53	

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 2041-5 Filed 10/23/17 Page 5 of 17 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	I I doubt it	10:49:15
2	MR. PERLSON: Okay.	10:49:16
3	MR. GONZALEZ: either by a joint	10:49:16
4	interest, by work-product, by attorney/client, or	10:49:17
5	all of the above.	10:49:18
6	Q (BY MR. PERLSON) Are you aware of any	10:49:21
7	conversations that you have had with Mr. Levandowski	10:49:22
8	that are not privileged?	10:49:26
9	A Not generally. But I do know that there	10:49:36
10	was that one night when we talked about the bonus.	10:49:43
11	Q Separate and apart from from that	10:49:50
12	discussion, are you can you, sitting here today,	10:49:52
13	think of any discussions with Mr. Levandowski that	10:49:55
14	you you had with him that were not privileged?	10:50:00
15	A No, I really can't.	10:50:02
16	Q Are you aware that Mr. Levandowski	10:50:21
17	provided search terms to assist Uber in looking for	10:50:21
18	documents in responding to paragraph 4 of the	10:50:30
19	March 16th order?	10:50:39
20	MR. GONZALEZ: And I don't recall frankly	10:50:43
21	whether that's in the public filing. But if you	10:50:44
22	know that information from a nonprivileged source,	10:50:47
23	you can answer.	10:50:51
24	A I truly, everything about complying	10:50:52
25	with this order, Exhibit 8104, truly, it would all	10:50:56
		Page 54

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 2041-5 Filed 10/23/17 Page 6 of 17 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	be privileged, everything I know.	10:51:01
2	Q (BY MR. PERLSON) So if you didn't if	10:51:08
3	you did know that, you would consider that to be	10:51:09
4	privileged?	10:51:12
5	A I would.	10:51:13
6	(Exhibit 8105 was marked for	10:52:38
7	identification and is attached to the transcript.)	10:52:38
8	A Thank you.	10:52:41
9	MR. PERLSON: What number?	10:52:41
10	THE COURT REPORTER: 8105.	10:52:49
11	Q (BY MR. PERLSON) You have been handed	10:52:51
12	what's been marked as Exhibit 8105, UBER65191.	10:52:53
13	A A two-sided	10:53:06
14	Q Yeah.	10:53:08
15	A the same document?	10:53:08
16	Q Just ignore the second	10:53:09
17	A Okay.	10:53:12
18	Q side. I don't know why that's there.	10:53:12
19	The do you see that this is a a calendar	10:53:20
20	invite for a meeting on Feb March 3, 2017, that	10:53:23
21	you were invited to.	10:53:33
22	Do you see that?	10:53:34
23	A I see this.	10:53:35
24	Q Okay. And it looks like the subject	10:53:36
25	matter was "Judge Alsup's order, 10 questions."	10:53:37
		Page 55

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 2041-5 Filed 10/23/17 Page 7 of 17 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	Do you see that?	10:53:40
2	A I do.	10:53:40
3	Q Okay. Did you participate in this call?	10:53:41
4	A I likely did. But sitting here right now,	10:53:46
5	I can't I don't remember it clearly.	10:53:51
6	Q Okay. And you see a to the left of	10:53:54
7	your name, there's "robot@Uber.com"?	10:53:58
8	A I see that.	10:54:03
9	Q Is that Mr. Levandowski?	10:54:04
10	A Yeah, that should be.	10:54:06
11	Q Okay. And were there any questions that	10:54:11
12	were asked of Mr. Levandowski on this call that he	10:54:20
13	refused to provide answers to?	10:54:25
14	MR. GONZALEZ: I'm going to instruct you	10:54:28
15	not to answer any questions about the content of	10:54:28
16	this telephone call, which is privileged.	10:54:29
17	Q (BY MR. PERLSON) Which you'll follow?	10:54:32
18	A Yes.	10:54:34
19	Q And did Mr. Levandowski cooperate with	10:54:34
20	Uber in addressing Judge Alsup's order on this call?	10:54:40
21	MR. GONZALEZ: Same instruction.	10:54:48
22	Q (BY MR. PERLSON) And has Mr. Levandowski	10:54:48
23	provided information to Uber regarding the nature of	10:55:16
24	the technology that Waymo contends is	10:55:20
25	misappropriated in this case?	10:55:23
		Page 56

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 2041-5 Filed 10/23/17 Page 8 of 17 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	MR. GONZALEZ: Same instruction. If you	10:55:26
2	have nonprivileged information, you can share that.	10:55:27
3	A Has Mr. Levandowski provided technology?	10:55:32
4	No. Could you say it again?	10:55:35
5	Q (BY MR. PERLSON) Sure. Has	10:55:37
6	Mr. Levandowski provided information to Uber	10:55:38
7	regarding the nature of the technology that Waymo	10:55:40
8	contends is misappropriated in this case?	10:55:45
9	A So the only way I would have that would be	10:55:47
10	through privileged conversations.	10:55:50
11	Q Has Mr. Levandowski provided Uber with	10:55:57
12	information that it has used to identify relevant	10:56:02
13	witnesses in this case?	10:56:09
14	MR. GONZALEZ: I instruct you not to	10:56:11
15	answer that question. Privilege, work-product.	10:56:12
16	Q (BY MR. PERLSON) Has Mr. Levandowski	10:56:16
17	provided Uber with information that it has used in	10:56:18
18	connection with depositions that it has taken in	10:56:30
19	this case?	10:56:34
20	MR. GONZALEZ: Same instruction. Unless	10:56:35
21	you have nonprivileged information, I would instruct	10:56:36
22	you not to answer.	10:56:39
23	Q (BY MR. PERLSON) What materials has	10:56:41
24	Mr. Levandowski refused to provide to Uber that Uber	10:56:44
25	asked him to provide in connection with this	10:56:51
		Page 57

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 2041-5 Filed 10/23/17 Page 9 of 17 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	litigation?	10:56:54
2	MR. GONZALEZ: So I'll I'll let you	10:56:57
3	answer the part of that question that involves his	10:56:59
4	computers, because I believe a document has been	10:57:01
5	produced on that point.	10:57:05
6	A So he did not cooperate in providing all	10:57:14
7	of the devices that he had at the time that we were	10:57:21
8	in this litigation. And I sent him a letter	10:57:28
9	well, really an e-mail an e-mail letter about	10:57:34
10	that.	10:57:37
11	(Exhibit 8106 was marked for	10:57:42
12	identification and is attached to the transcript.)	10:57:42
13	MR. GONZALEZ: Thank you.	10:58:33
14	Q (BY MR. PERLSON) You have been handed	10:58:43
15	what's been marked as Exhibit 8106, UBER324612.	10:58:44
16	Do you recognize this e-mail?	10:58:52
17	A I do.	10:58:55
18	Q Is this the e-mail that you were just	10:58:56
19	referring to in your testimony?	10:58:58
20	A It is.	10:59:01
21	Q Okay. So in the first sentence, it says,	10:59:01
22	I understand that there are two laptops in your	10:59:07
23	possession that you have used for Uber work that	10:59:10
24	have not yet been provided to us for inspection in	10:59:14
25	the Waymo litigation.	10:59:18
		Page 58

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 2041-5 Filed 10/23/17 Page 10 of 17 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	Do you see that?	10:59:20
2	A Um-hum.	10:59:21
3	Q Yes?	10:59:22
4	A Yes.	10:59:22
5	Q Okay. And what what do you know	10:59:22
6	what those computers were?	10:59:28
7	A I don't remember the specifics right now.	10:59:33
8	I remember just a dispute about whether they were	10:59:43
9	company computers or personal computers and whether	10:59:49
10	they were used for Uber work or not.	10:59:51
11	Q Okay. And who that was a dispute	10:59:56
12	between Anthony or Mr. Levandowski and Uber?	11:00:03
13	A Yes.	11:00:05
14	Q Okay. Were was that dispute something	11:00:05
15	that was discussed orally or were there other	11:00:13
16	e-mails concerning that dispute? Do you know?	11:00:19
17	A I think it was all oral.	11:00:21
18	Q And when did obviously, I assume that	11:00:25
19	they when did those discussions occur?	11:00:28
20	A Probably right around the time of this	11:00:34
21	e-mail, which is April 20. Maybe a little before	11:00:37
22	and probably a little after as well. I I think	11:00:44
23	it was an ongoing struggle.	11:00:47
24	Q And who who was involved in those	11:00:50
25	conversations?	11:00:59
		Page 59

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 2041-5 Filed 10/23/17 Page 11 of 17 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	A Let's see. Myself; Anthony; his lawyers,	11:01:01
2	Miles and Izzy Miles or Izzy and/or meaning these	11:01:11
3	people in various combinations in various	11:01:21
4	conversations. It wasn't a static one-time event.	11:01:25
5	Travis; Neal Chatterjee, once he came on	11:01:33
6	board. Gosh, I'm trying to think. Possibly Salle.	11:01:42
7	Possibly Salle. Possibly Walter and Melinda over at	11:01:58
8	Orrick. No. No. No, not them. I'm sorry. I'm	11:02:03
9	thinking of something else. I'm thinking of Travis.	11:02:06
10	No, not them. Just possibly Salle, yeah.	11:02:09
11	Q Please tell me what you remember regarding	11:02:16
12	the substance of those communications.	11:02:19
13	A I don't remember details, but the	11:02:25
14	MR. GONZALEZ: I'm sorry. Can I interrupt	11:02:27
15	just to make this clear? To the extent you had	11:02:28
16	conversations with attorneys for Mr. Levandowski,	11:02:31
17	I'm going to let you answer that.	11:02:33
18	But if you had private conversations with	11:02:35
19	your client, Salle, Travis, or anybody else, that	11:02:37
20	would be privileged. So I want to make sure you	11:02:41
21	draw that distinction in your head as you answer	11:02:43
22	that question.	11:02:46
23	A Okay. So if I limit it to conversations	11:02:47
24	that I had with Anthony's lawyers, because all the	11:02:51
25	other conversations would have been with Salle or	11:02:56
		Page 60

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 2041-5 Filed 10/23/17 Page 12 of 17 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

_		
1	Travis or Anthony, or or with Neal Chatterjee.	11:02:58
2	Was it Neal? I I don't know. It could	11:03:04
3	Q (BY MR. PERLSON) That's his name.	11:03:07
4	A be mistaken. I know I mean, I know	11:03:07
5	Neal really well. I just I can't remember if	11:03:08
6	I might be mistaken about Neal. But anyway, I feel	11:03:10
7	like I had some conversation with Neal.	11:03:17
8	But what I recall about the conversations	11:03:19
9	with Miles and Izzy and probably with Neal is my	11:03:21
10	insistence that Anthony turn over every device to	11:03:27
11	Uber for us to search and comply with the court's	11:03:33
12	order, period, end of story, and there was to be no	11:03:37
13	debate about this.	11:03:46
14	Q And so how many conversations do you think	11:03:56
15	you had with yourself on that that on the	11:03:58
16	subject that Mr. Levandowski's lawyers would have	11:04:06
17	been involved in?	11:04:10
18	A Several. Maybe as many as five.	11:04:12
19	Q Okay. And that would have been at or	11:04:16
20	around this April 20	11:04:19
21	A I can't	11:04:21
22	Q time frame?	11:04:21
23	A I can't put it exactly in time. There	11:04:22
24	was, as you know, a lot going on. But within a	11:04:23
25	couple of weeks of this time period, yeah, I would	11:04:31
		Page 61

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 2041-5 Filed 10/23/17 Page 13 of 17 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	say so.	11:04:34
2	Q Did you have any conversations with	11:04:57
3	Mr. Levandowski regarding obtaining his devices that	11:04:59
4	did not include his counsel?	11:05:08
5	A I might have.	11:05:12
6	Q Okay. When would that have been?	11:05:14
7	A Same time period.	11:05:19
8	Q Okay. Can you identify let me know the	11:05:20
9	substance of of that communication?	11:05:26
10	MR. GONZALEZ: So so hold on. Would	11:05:29
11	you agree that we're not waiving privilege by	11:05:31
12	allowing her to answer that question?	11:05:33
13	MR. PERLSON: Nope.	11:05:34
14	MR. GONZALEZ: Okay. Then I'm going to	11:05:35
15	have to instruct you not to answer.	11:05:37
16	A Okay.	11:05:38
17	Q (BY MR. PERLSON) Did you ever have any	11:05:50
18	instructions with Mr start over again.	11:05:51
19	Did you ever have any conversations with	11:05:55
20	Mr. Levandowski in which he did agree to produce at	11:05:57
21	least some of his devices?	11:06:02
22	A Yes.	11:06:07
23	Q Okay. When was that?	11:06:09
24	A The same time frame. I I don't	11:06:13
25	clearly really, I don't clearly remember it,	11:06:16
		Page 62

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 2041-5 Filed 10/23/17 Page 14 of 17 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	because there were hundreds of things happening at	11:06:19
2	this time. I'm I was also running the	11:06:22
3	and just everything was going on.	11:06:25
4	So I don't know if that was, like, right	11:06:32
5	after the lawsuit was filed, two weeks after the	11:06:34
6	lawsuit was filed, or and now we're in April.	11:06:36
7	But I do know I do remember insisting	11:06:39
8	pretty sharply that he turn over things and that he	11:06:46
9	did cooperate to some extent.	11:06:50
10	Q Okay. He he you do remember that	11:06:52
11	Mr. Levandowski at least did cooperate to some	11:06:57
12	extent in turning over devices; is that fair?	11:07:01
13	A I don't know if "cooperate" is the right	11:07:05
14	word. He certainly gave us some devices to search.	11:07:05
15	Q Some of his devices?	11:07:08
16	A Yes.	11:07:09
17	Q Did you ever get the two laptop computers	11:07:13
18	that are referred to in your April 20, 2017 e-mail?	11:07:15
19	A I am not sure that we got them, but I	11:07:30
20	believe they were searched by another law firm.	11:07:32
21	Q Okay. Do you know what law firm that was?	11:07:37
22	A I don't.	11:07:44
23	Q Was it Mr. Levandowski's counsel?	11:07:45
24	A It could have been Miles and Izzy. It	11:07:50
25	could have been Neal. I don't know.	11:07:53
		Page 63

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 2041-5 Filed 10/23/17 Page 15 of 17 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

the basis of you thinking that they were eventually the basis of you thinking that they were eventually searched by somebody? A Because this was megillah. Do you know that a megillah is? It's Yiddish for long story. This megillah went on for a long time, because I was not going to let go of these two computers. And I insisted that we get information off of them, and they were insisting they weren't going to give us the computers. And so I believe that finally some kind of a comprise was reached after a back and forth and back and forth that some trusted third person would review the document the computers. And if anything responsive in this litigation was found on the basis of you thinking that they were eventually the basis of you thinking that they were eventually the basis of you thinking that they were eventually the basis of you thinking that they were eventually the basis of you thinking that they were eventually the basis of you thinking that they were eventually the basis of you thinking that they were eventually the basis of you thinking that they were eventually the basis of you thinking they were eventually the basis of you they were insisting they were eventually the basis of you thinking they were eventually the basis of you they was regillah. Do you know the basis of you they were insisting they were they are they a	
searched by somebody? A Because this was megillah. Do you know 11:08:12 what a megillah is? It's Yiddish for long story. 11:08:19 This megillah went on for a long time, because I was 11:08:23 not going to let go of these two computers. 11:08:28 And I insisted that we get information off 11:08:31 of them, and they were insisting they weren't going 11:08:34 to give us the computers. 11:08:39 And so I believe that finally some kind of 11:08:40 a comprise was reached after a back and forth and 11:08:43 back and forth that some trusted third person would 11:08:46 review the document the computers. And if 11:08:52 anything responsive in this litigation was found on 11:08:54	
A Because this was megillah. Do you know 11:08:12 what a megillah is? It's Yiddish for long story. 11:08:19 This megillah went on for a long time, because I was 11:08:23 not going to let go of these two computers. 11:08:28 And I insisted that we get information off 11:08:31 of them, and they were insisting they weren't going 11:08:34 to give us the computers. 11:08:39 And so I believe that finally some kind of 11:08:40 a comprise was reached after a back and forth and 11:08:43 back and forth that some trusted third person would 11:08:46 review the document the computers. And if 11:08:52 anything responsive in this litigation was found on 11:08:54	
what a megillah is? It's Yiddish for long story. 11:08:19 This megillah went on for a long time, because I was 11:08:23 not going to let go of these two computers. 11:08:28 And I insisted that we get information off 11:08:31 of them, and they were insisting they weren't going 11:08:34 to give us the computers. 11:08:39 And so I believe that finally some kind of 11:08:40 a comprise was reached after a back and forth and 11:08:43 back and forth that some trusted third person would 11:08:46 review the document the computers. And if 11:08:52 anything responsive in this litigation was found on 11:08:54	
This megillah went on for a long time, because I was 11:08:23 not going to let go of these two computers. 11:08:28 And I insisted that we get information off 11:08:31 of them, and they were insisting they weren't going 11:08:34 to give us the computers. 11:08:39 And so I believe that finally some kind of 11:08:40 a comprise was reached after a back and forth and 11:08:43 back and forth that some trusted third person would 11:08:46 review the document the computers. And if 11:08:52 anything responsive in this litigation was found on 11:08:54	
not going to let go of these two computers. And I insisted that we get information off 11:08:31 of them, and they were insisting they weren't going to give us the computers. 11:08:39 And so I believe that finally some kind of a comprise was reached after a back and forth and back and forth that some trusted third person would review the document the computers. And if anything responsive in this litigation was found on 11:08:28 11:08:28 11:08:31	
And I insisted that we get information off 11:08:31 of them, and they were insisting they weren't going 11:08:34 to give us the computers. 11:08:39 And so I believe that finally some kind of 11:08:40 a comprise was reached after a back and forth and 11:08:43 back and forth that some trusted third person would 11:08:46 review the document the computers. And if 11:08:52 anything responsive in this litigation was found on 11:08:54	
of them, and they were insisting they weren't going 11:08:34 to give us the computers. 11:08:39 And so I believe that finally some kind of 11:08:40 a comprise was reached after a back and forth and 11:08:43 back and forth that some trusted third person would 11:08:46 review the document the computers. And if 11:08:52 anything responsive in this litigation was found on 11:08:54	
to give us the computers. 11:08:39 And so I believe that finally some kind of 11:08:40 a comprise was reached after a back and forth and 11:08:43 back and forth that some trusted third person would 11:08:46 review the document the computers. And if 11:08:52 anything responsive in this litigation was found on 11:08:54	
And so I believe that finally some kind of 11:08:40 12 a comprise was reached after a back and forth and 11:08:43 13 back and forth that some trusted third person would 11:08:46 14 review the document the computers. And if 11:08:52 15 anything responsive in this litigation was found on 11:08:54	
a comprise was reached after a back and forth and 11:08:43 back and forth that some trusted third person would 11:08:46 review the document the computers. And if 11:08:52 anything responsive in this litigation was found on 11:08:54	
back and forth that some trusted third person would 11:08:46 review the document the computers. And if 11:08:52 anything responsive in this litigation was found on 11:08:54	
review the document the computers. And if 11:08:52 anything responsive in this litigation was found on 11:08:54	
anything responsive in this litigation was found on 11:08:54	
them, that would be provided. 11:08:57	
Q Okay. Do you know when when that 11:09:00	
accommodation was eventually reached? 11:09:04	
A It would have been after this e-mail went 11:09:09	
out. I just don't know when. 11:09:11	
Q Okay. And was that accommodation 11:09:13	
memorialized in some way? 11:09:16	
A I don't I doubt it. I don't remember. 11:09:21	
Q Okay. If if how could I figure out 11:09:26	
what who what would you think the best source 11:09:29	
Page 64	

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 2041-5 Filed 10/23/17 Page 16 of 17 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	would be for me to figure out who actually did	11:09:31
2	the did look at those pursuant to this	11:09:34
3	accommodation?	11:09:38
4	A Miles, Izzy, Neal.	11:09:42
5	Q And do you know whether responsive	11:09:46
6	material was found?	11:09:48
7	A I believe I don't I don't know one	11:09:49
8	way or the other. I can't remember. It could have	11:09:51
9	been. It could not have been. I just don't recall	11:09:53
10	it.	11:09:56
11	Q Okay. And just to clear up the record	11:09:56
12	I I think it's already clear. But it it	11:09:57
13	Mr. Levandowski did provide at least some of his	11:10:01
14	devices to Uber in connection with its efforts to	11:10:05
15	provide responsive information in this litigation,	11:10:10
16	correct?	11:10:13
17	A He did. I just wish he would have	11:10:14
18	provided everything from the get-go and given full	11:10:17
19	bona fide cooperation, which he did not do.	11:10:21
20	Q In the second-to-last paragraph of the	11:11:48
21	your e-mail, it says that, Please understand that	11:11:53
22	your compliance with this request is crucial to	11:11:57
23	Uber's defense of the Waymo litigation and required	11:12:00
24	by our internal company policies.	11:12:04
25	Do you see that?	11:12:07
		Page 65

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 2041-5 Filed 10/23/17 Page 17 of 17 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	MR. GONZALEZ: I think I'm going to	01:28:45
2	instruct you not to answer that question unless you	01:28:46
3	have nonprivileged information.	01:28:48
4	A Everything I have is privileged.	01:28:54
5	Q (BY MR. PERLSON) Did you agree with the	01:28:57
6	decision to terminate Mr. Levandowski?	01:28:58
7	MR. GONZALEZ: I'll instruct you not to	01:29:01
8	answer, unless you agree it's not a waiver.	01:29:01
9	MR. PERLSON: No.	01:29:04
10	MR. GONZALEZ: In that case, I'll instruct	01:29:06
11	her not to answer.	01:29:08
12	Q (BY MR. PERLSON) Do you know why Uber	01:29:13
13	didn't fire Mr. Levandowski earlier than May 26,	01:29:14
14	2017?	01:29:17
15	MR. GONZALEZ: I instruct you not to	01:29:19
16	answer that question, unless you have nonprivileged	01:29:20
17	information.	01:29:22
18	Q (BY MR. PERLSON) Was it was before	01:29:27
19	Mr. Levandowski was fired on May 26, 2017, did	01:29:34
20	Mr. Kalanick resist firing Anthony Levandowksi?	01:29:39
21	MR. GONZALEZ: I'm going to instruct you	01:29:42
22	not to answer.	01:29:43
23	Q (BY MR. PERLSON) Do you have any	01:30:01
24	nonprivileged information regarding whether Waymo	01:30:02
25	has whether let me start over again.	01:30:06
		Page 106